

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>Charles Kern

<ADDRESS1>41414 56th. Ave.

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>PawPaw

<STATE>MI

<ZIP>49079

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>TheOldGunny@msn.com

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

I am on a fixed income and can't take any more rate or tax increases. That is why I have a prepay phone. If you need a tax increase let the people that make the most long distance calls pay it, like big business and all the kids that think having a cell phone is cool. They don't know what a \$1.00 is anyway. (kids) we will just let Mom & Dad pay for it. (big business) we will just pass it on to the consumers and then tell the Gov. Give us a tax brake or we will move out of the U.S. Thank You

Sincerely,

Charles Kern

41414 56th. Ave.

PawPaw, Michigan 49079